

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/008,635	12/04/2001	Thomas Walsh	LAM2P232A	3746
7:	590 04/09/2003			
Albert S. Penilla MARTINE & PENILLA, LLP Suite 170			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, DUNG V	
710 Lakeway D	Drive			
Sunnyvale, ČA 94085			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/008,635	WALSH, THOMAS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dung V Nguyen	3723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
<u> </u>	is action is non-final.				
 Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under Insposition of Claims 	nce except for formal matters, p Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-14 and 17-21</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>7,8,15,16 and 22</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers	·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application.	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been rec	ceived.			
Attachment(s)	o priority drider 30 0.3.0. 99 120	Janu/ULIZI.			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/008,635 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: typo error, line 2, "claim 2" should be "claim 1". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Regarding claim 20, the phrase "scissor-like" renders the claim indefinite because the claim includes elements not actually disclosed, thereby rendering the scope of the claim unascertainable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 6. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting

Application/Control Number: 10/008,635

Art Unit: 3723

directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

7. Claims 1-6, 9-14, 17-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tolles et al (USPN 5,738,574). Tolles et al disclose an apparatus 10 for chemical mechanical polishing a surface of a workpiece 40 comprising a housing configured to encase a processing area including a wafer loading and unloading station 30, a plurality of polishing areas 20, a handler configured to include a pair of leaf structures 90 rotatably coupled to a turret 902, the leaf structure 90 including a pair of carrier devices 110 designed to hold a pair of workpieces 40 to be polished on at least one of the polishing area 20, wherein the pair of workpieces 40 are capable of being polished substantially at the same time on the at least one of the polishing areas 20, wherein the leaf structure 90 is configured to rotate about the turret 902 so as to move the pair of workpieces 40 between the loading and unloading area 30 and the polishing area 20, wherein each polishing area 20 includes a polishing pad 54 overlying a rotating platen assembly 52, wherein each carrier device 110 is configured to include an actuator 100 designed to adjust the carrier device in a z-direction, wherein the leaf structure 90 rotates horizontally about the turret 902 along a fixed plane, wherein the leaf structure 90 includes a pair of fingers designed to support the respective carrier device 110 (note Fig. 1 and 2, col. 12, line 41 to col. 14, line 47).

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/008,635

Art Unit: 3723

8. Claims 7, 8, 15, 16 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected

base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Sherwood et al is cited to show chemical mechanical polishing

apparatus.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dung V Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-

0036. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6:30-3:00.

11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Joseph J Hail can be reached on 703-308-2687. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302

for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

12. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1148.

DVN

April 3, 2003

Dung Van Nguyen

Jung van hyngen

Patent Examiner

Page 4